

| | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/710,356 | SHAUGHNESSY, STEVEN T. |
| | Examiner AARON SANDERS | Art Unit 2168 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Aaron Sanders.

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen Chang.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 103

Claims discussed:

1 and 44

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to make the amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tim T. Vo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)